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SUMMARY
Developmental processes that control root system architecture are critical for soil exploration by plants, allowing for uptake of water and nutrients. Conversion of the auxin precursor indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) to active auxin (indole-3-acetic acid; IAA) modulates lateral root formation. However, mechanisms governing IBA-to-IAA conversion have yet to be elucidated. We identified TRANSPORTER OF IBA1 (TOB1) as a vacuolar IBA transporter that limits lateral root formation. Moreover, TOB1, which is transcriptionally regulated by the phytohormone cytokinin, is necessary for the ability of cytokinin to exert inhibitory effects on lateral root production. The increased production of lateral roots in tob1 mutants, TOB1 transport of IBA into the vacuole, and cytokinin-regulated TOB1 expression provide a mechanism linking cytokinin signaling and IBA contribution to the auxin pool to tune root system architecture.
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HIGHLIGHTS
- TRANSPORTER OF IBA1 (TOB1) identified as vacuolar transporter of the auxin precursor IBA
- TOB1 integrates cytokinin response and auxin homeostasis to regulate RSA
INTRODUCTION

Plant root systems are critical for nutrient and water acquisition and also for anchoring the plant. Root system architecture is dynamically controlled and is altered in response to environmental conditions to allow for optimal plant growth (reviewed in Rogers and Benfey, 2015; Satbhai et al., 2015). The primary root originates from the embryo and new meristems are initiated from cell divisions in the single-cell-layer pericycle along the root axis to generate lateral roots. During lateral root initiation, pericycle cells located at the xylem pole undergo several rounds of anticlinal cell divisions to produce a lateral root primordium. Subsequent rounds of division consist of both periclinal and anticlinal divisions to create a primordia that acquires similar tissue organization as the primary root, eventually emerging from the primary root as a fully patterned lateral root (reviewed in Lavenus et al., 2013).

Root system architecture, the spatial arrangement of roots in the root system, is an outcome of when and where lateral roots form, and by the angles and growth rates of each root. Architecture of a plant root system is dictated by both features inherent to the species and also to the soil conditions in which each plant finds itself. Proper root system architecture and dynamic response to soil conditions are necessary for successful plant growth.

The plant hormone auxin critically guides lateral root founder cell specification, initiation, and emergence (reviewed in Casimiro et al., 2003; Taylor-Teeples et al., 2016). Auxin activity in lateral root initiation is countered by cytokinin, which acts to suppress lateral root initiation (reviewed in Schaller et al., 2015). The balance of the activities of these two opposing hormones act to dynamically shape root system architecture.

Conversion of the auxin precursor indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) to the active auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is required for lateral root development (Strader et al., 2011; Zolman et al., 2008) and creates a local auxin source for the oscillating auxin-regulated gene expression that defines lateral root prebranch sites (De Rybel et al., 2012; Xuan et
al., 2015). Despite the importance of IBA-to-IAA conversion during lateral root initiation, mechanisms governing IBA conversion have yet to be discovered. Here, we report the identification of an IBA carrier, which we have named TRANSPORTER OF IBA1 (TOB1) that likely acts to limit IBA contributions to the active auxin pool. Mutants defective in TOB1 display increased numbers of lateral root primordia, emerged lateral roots, and accelerated growth rates. TOB1 is expressed in the lateral root cap, the site of the auxin maxima oscillation that specifies lateral root founder cells, and also in specific cells surrounding lateral root primordia, suggesting that TOB1 acts in these cells to slow lateral root formation. Moreover, TOB1 transcripts are upregulated by cytokinin and tob1 mutants are resistant to the inhibitory effects of cytokinin on lateral root initiation, consistent with TOB1 acting as a mediator of cytokinin–auxin interactions in regulating lateral root formation.

RESULTS

Identifying TRANSPORTER OF IBA1 (TOB1)

Conversion of the auxin precursor indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) contributes to the auxin pool to drive multiple developmental events, including lateral root development (reviewed in Strader and Bartel, 2011). Despite the significance of IBA contributions to auxin-regulated developmental processes, mechanisms to regulate IBA inputs to the auxin pool have not been identified. We used a forward genetics approach to identify factors that may act to modulate IBA levels.

Mutants defective in ABCG36/PDR8/PEN3 (Strader and Bartel, 2009) display hypersensitivity to the auxin precursor IBA, but not to the active auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), likely because of a defect in efflux of this auxin precursor from root cells (reviewed in Michniewicz et al., 2014). In addition, abcg36 mutants hyperaccumulate [3H]-IBA in simplified auxin transport assays (Strader and Bartel, 2009), consistent with a defect in efflux of this molecule. To identify additional IBA transporters, we performed
a suppressor screen of the pen3-4 allele of ABCG36 (Figure S1A). Isolate PS173 from this screen displayed suppression of pen3-4 hypersensitivity to both IBA and to its synthetic analog 2,4-dichlorophenoxy butyric acid (2,4-DB; Figures 1A and 1B). Isolate PS173 also displayed suppression of pen3-4 hyperaccumulation of [\textsuperscript{3}H]-IBA (Figure 1C). Using whole genome sequencing combined with bulk segregant analysis (Thole and Strader, 2015), we identified three homozygous EMS-related mutations in PS173 (Figure S1C). One of these was a missense mutation in At1g72140, encoding the Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) member NPF5.12 (Figure 1D), resulting in a Leu371-to-Phe substitution in transmembrane domain 8 (Figures 1E and 1F). We obtained insertional alleles defective in At1g72140 (Figure 1D) and found that these, like our missense allele, displayed mild resistance to the long-chain auxins IBA and 2,4-DB and wild-type sensitivity to the active auxins IAA and 2,4-D (Figure 1G), consistent with the possibility that the defect in At1g72140 causes pen3-4 suppression in isolate PS173. Because these insertional alleles displayed similar phenotypes as the missense allele in At1g72140 removed from the pen3-4 background (Figure 1G), we optimistically named this gene TRANSPORTER OF IBA1 (TOB1). We named the original missense allele tob1-1 and the insertional alleles tob1-2 (SALK_093015) and tob1-3 (SALK_205450; Figure 1D).

**TOB1 Transports IBA**

The mildly decreased IBA responsiveness of the tob1 alleles (Figure 1G) combined with the identity of TOB1 as a member of the MFS transporter family (reviewed in Léran et al., 2014), suggested that TOB1 might function in IBA transport. To test this possibility, we examined [\textsuperscript{3}H]-IAA and [\textsuperscript{3}H]-IBA accumulation in tob1 alleles using an excised root tip auxin transport assay (Ito and Gray, 2006; Strader and Bartel, 2009; Strader et al., 2008). Consistent with the wild-type sensitivity of these alleles to IAA in root elongation assays (Figure 1G), tob1 alleles displayed wild-type [\textsuperscript{3}H]-IAA
accumulation in this assay (Figure 2A). However, *tob1* root tips accumulated less [³H]-IBA than did wild type root tips in this assay (Figure 2A). Moreover, *tob1* fully suppressed the increased accumulation of [³H]-IBA observed in *pen3-4* root tips (Figure 2A). These results suggest that TOB1 is necessary for IBA transport in plants.

To determine whether TOB1 directly transports IBA, we expressed either free GFP or GFP-TOB1 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and examined accumulation of [³H]-IAA and [³H]-IBA. Whereas [³H]-IAA accumulation was similar in both strains, GFP-TOB1-expressing yeast accumulated more [³H]-IBA than yeast expressing free GFP (Fig 2B), consistent with direct IBA transport by TOB1 in this heterologous system. Examination of GFP-TOB1 revealed that it primarily localizes to the vacuolar membrane in yeast (Fig. 2C); however, we cannot exclude the possibility that a small amount of GFP-TOB1 localizes to the plasma membrane to drive IBA accumulation. The hyperaccumulation of [³H]-IBA in GFP-TOB1-expressing yeast along with its localization to the vacuolar membrane is consistent with TOB1 moving IBA from the cytoplasm and into the vacuole of yeast cells.

We further examined the ability of TOB1 expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes to transport IBA. Two-electrode voltage clamp analysis revealed that IBA induced concentration-dependent currents (*Kₘ* ~2.7 mM; Figures 2D-2G; S2), consistent with IBA transport by TOB1. Because TOB1/NPF5.12 is a member of a transporter family with members that transport nitrate, we also examined nitrate transport by two electrode voltage clamp analysis and found that, similar to a previous report (He et al., 2017), nitrate induced concentration-dependent currents (*Kₘ* ~1.7 mM; Figures 2D-2G; S2A,B). In both cases, currents were pH-dependent and higher at acidic versus neutral pH (Figure S2D). Nitrate currents were significantly larger than IBA currents (Figures 2D-G; S2E-G). Nitrate uptake was confirmed by isotope uptake assays; IBA competed for nitrate uptake (Fig. 2H). Other hormones such as ABA, GA₃, GA₄, and IAA, which are transported by other members of the CHL1/PTR/NPF family, do not induce substantial currents, intimating
that TOB1 is specific for IBA and nitrate (Fig. S2H-J). To exclude that currents were caused by induction of oocyte endogenous channels, a non-functional TOB1 mutant was generated. D547 in TOB1 corresponds to the essential E476 glutamate in CHL1 (Ho and Frommer, 2014). The D547A mutant of TOB1 lost both IBA and nitrate-induced currents (Figures 2H; S2K,L). In addition to IBA and nitrate-induced inward currents, we also observed a chloride-dependent outward current (Figures S2M). Taken together, these data indicate that, in Xenopus oocytes, TOB1 mediates uptake of the IBA and nitrate anions, likely together with two protons, similar to other members of the family (Zhou et al., 1998). In addition, it is conceivable that the transporter has a chloride conductance as has been observed for NPF2.4, a TOB1 paralog (Li et al., 2016). The ability of heterologously-expressed TOB1 to transport IBA implies a direct role for TOB1 in transporting this auxin precursor.

Tonoplast-localized TOB1 Represses Lateral Root Development

To examine TOB1 localization and function, we transformed the tob1-1 mutant with a construct carrying a TOB1-promoter driven YFP-TOB1 fusion protein (TOB1:YFP-TOB1), which rescued the tob1-1 mutant phenotype (Figure S7A). We found that YFP-TOB1 co-localized with a tonoplast marker (Figure S3B), similar to a previous report that NPF5.12-EYFP localized to the tonoplast when expressed in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts (He et al., 2017), although we cannot exclude the possibility that a small amount of TOB1 may localize to other membranes. Vacuolar YFP-TOB1 signal accumulated in lateral root cap cells in the primary root tip (Figure S3C) and in lateral-root associated cells during all examined stages of lateral root development (Figures 3A-E). We detected YFP-TOB1 signal in the first dividing cells of the lateral root primordia (Figure 3A). As additional cell divisions occurred during lateral root primordia development, YFP-TOB1 signal became restricted to the pericycle cells surrounding the primordia (Figure 3B) and to the basal margins of the lateral root primordia (Figures
3C,D). After the lateral root emerged and developed an organized meristem, YFP-TOB1 signal was also detected in the lateral root cap cells of the new lateral root (Figure 3E; S3C). Because the TOB1:YFP-TOB1 construct complemented the tob1-1 phenotype (Figure S7A), the YFP-TOB1 vacuolar localization in lateral root-associated tissues likely reflects functional TOB1 sites.

We found that TOB1 is expressed in lateral root cap cells (Figures 3E and S3C), a site in which oscillations of IBA-to-IAA conversion are important for positioning the priming sites in lateral root initiation (De Rybel et al., 2012; Xuan et al., 2015), and also in cells associated with lateral root primordia (Figures 3C-G). We therefore examined lateral root development in tob1 mutants and discovered that these mutants display increased lateral root density and longer lateral roots (Figure 4A). An analysis of pre-emerged lateral roots revealed an increased density of lateral root initials in tob1-2 after 4 d and 8 d of growth, which resulted in an increased density of emerged lateral roots in 8-d-old seedlings (Fig. 4B).

The longer lateral roots observed in tob1 raised the possibility that lateral root development progressed more quickly in tob1 than in wild type. To assess this possibility, we used gravitropic stimulation to synchronize lateral root primordia initiation in 6-day-old wild-type and tob1-2 seedlings carrying the DR5-GFP reporter (Friml et al., 2003). Gravitropic stimulation of lateral root primordia initiation typically results in one lateral root primordia per root bend. Consistent with the increased lateral root initial density observed in tob1-2 (Figure 4B), we found that tob1-2 produced two lateral root primordia per gravitropic bend more frequently than wild type (Figure 4C). At each of the post-gravitistimulus time points observed, lateral root primordia in tob1-2 were more developed than those in wild type (Figure 4D), consistent with a role for TOB1 in slowing progression of lateral root primordia development.

The tob1 phenotype of increased lateral root production (Figure 4), combined with the TOB1 identity as a tonoplast-localized (Figure 3A) transporter of the auxin precursor
IBA (Figure 2), suggests a role for TOB1 in limiting IBA contributions to auxin homeostasis. Thus, in the absence of TOB1, IBA contributions to the auxin pool may be increased in certain cells resulting in increased auxin-driven lateral root initiation and growth. This phenotype is converse to the decreased lateral root phenotype found in the IBA conversion mutant ech2 ibr10 (Strader et al., 2011; Zolman et al., 2008). We therefore examined the triple mutant and found that blocking IBA conversion suppressed the increased lateral root phenotype displayed by tob1, suggesting that IBA conversion acts downstream of TOB1 effects on lateral root development.

Whereas the essential effects of tob1 mutations on seedling root growth could be assessed with plate-based assays, the consequences for three-dimensional architecture during plant development required a different approach. We quantified the growth of each root (primary and all laterals) from day 9 to day 25 (bolting) using a gel-based 3D root imaging and analysis pipeline (Symonova et al., 2015; Topp et al., 2013). Consistent with the seedling plate assays, tob1-1 had more roots and a greater total root length than wild type at each of the five timepoints (Figures 5B-D; 5F, 5K, 5L). However, the primary root lengths of mutant and wild type were indistinguishable (Figure 5B), as were the volumes of space that the root systems explored (convex hull volume; Figure 5N). Thus, if there are more roots within a similar volume in tob1-1 mutants, then the thoroughness of exploration of that space is greater, a trait with significant implications for root foraging in natural environments that can apparently be selected for as a function of natural history (Topp et al., 2013). Our time series analysis also allowed us a view on growth patterns, notably that lateral roots of tob1-1 mutants grew more rapidly at early stages of development (Figure 4D; 5H), but elongation rates were then eclipsed by wild type by day 13. These results can be interpreted in terms of a rhizoeconomic theory (Lynch and Ho, 2005) in which a finite amount of carbon is apportioned to root growth, for example, either to generate new roots (tob1 > wild type), or to continue elongation of existing roots (wild type > tob1). In sum these results reinforce a role of TOB1 to
moderate the emergence and early elongation of lateral roots, which can affect the foraging patterns of the plant. Additionally, they highlight the importance of time-resolved phenotype measurements, because the elongation rate increase in \textit{tob1-1} mutants is eventually lost, perhaps due to plant physiological processes not directly related to TOB1 function, such as carbon or nutrient limitations on growth.

\textbf{TOB1 is Regulated by Cytokinin}

Crosstalk between auxin and cytokinin regulates lateral root development (reviewed in Chandler and Werr, 2015; Schaller et al., 2015). Similar to the apparent TOB1 role in limiting lateral root development, the plant hormone cytokinin inhibits lateral root development. We therefore examined \textit{tob1} responsiveness to cytokinin and \textit{TOB1} regulation by cytokinin. We found that \textit{tob1} mutants displayed wild-type responsiveness to inhibition of root elongation by cytokinin (Figure 6A) but displayed resistance to inhibition of lateral root formation by cytokinin (Figure 6B), suggesting a specific role for TOB1 in mediating repression of lateral root formation by cytokinin. \textit{TOB1} transcript accumulation was upregulated by a one-hour cytokinin treatment (Figure 6C). In addition, \textit{TOB1} transcript levels were lower than wild-type levels in the \textit{ahk3 ahk4} mutant (Nishimura et al., 2004), defective in two cytokinin receptors (Figure 6C). Conversely, \textit{TOB1} accumulation was elevated in the \textit{arr3,4,5,6,7,8,9,15} octuple mutant (Zhang et al., 2011), defective in eight type A-\textsc{Arabidopsis Response REGULATORs} (ARRs) that repress cytokinin responses (Figure 6C). Promotion of \textit{TOB1} levels by cytokinin treatment combined with its altered accumulation in cytokinin response mutants suggests that \textit{TOB1} transcripts are under the control of the cytokinin signaling system. Consistent with this possibility, two ARR binding sequences, consisting of \textit{A(A/G)GAT(T/C)TT} (core sequences underlined), lie upstream of the \textit{TOB1} transcript initiation site, three ARR binding sequences are found within the second \textit{TOB1} intron and five ARR binding sequences are immediately downstream of the \textit{TOB1}
stop codon (Figure S8), and ARR10 may directly bind the TOB1 promoter (Zubo et al., 2017).

Although TOB1:YFP-TOB1 signal is typically limited to specific root cell types (Figure 3), we found that growing seedlings in the presence of cytokinin dramatically increased YFP-TOB1 signal and expanded the signal to all examined root cell types (Figures 6D,E). In contrast, growth in the presence of various auxins did not increase TOB1:YFP-TOB1 signal (Figure 6E). The TOB1 requirement for cytokinin inhibition of lateral root formation combined with TOB1 transcriptional control by the cytokinin signaling system suggests a model in which cytokinin upregulates TOB1, resulting in limited IBA contributions to the auxin pool and thus limited lateral root formation (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

Auxin - cytokinin crosstalk regulates multiple developmental events, including lateral root development (reviewed in Chandler and Werr, 2015; Schaller et al., 2015). Whereas auxin promotes lateral root initiation and development, cytokinin has an inhibitory role in lateral root organogenesis. Our identification of TOB1 as a mediator of the crosstalk between cytokinin and auxin in lateral root development adds to a growing body of mechanisms regulating this crosstalk process. Auxin promotes new lateral root primordia (reviewed in Casimiro et al., 2003; Taylor-Teeples et al., 2016) and auxin response oscillations at the root tip specify sites of future lateral root primordia (De Smet et al., 2007; Moreno-Risueno et al., 2010). Mutants defective in cytokinin responsiveness or cytokinin accumulation display increased numbers of lateral roots compared to wild type (reviewed in Schaller et al., 2015). Because cytokinin response regulates lateral root density (Bielach 2012; Chang 2013), cytokinin is thought to regulate the auxin-mediated oscillation pattern setting the lateral root spacing. During later stages of lateral root development, cytokinin promotes targeting of the PIN1 auxin (IAA) efflux carrier to
vacuoles for lytic degradation (Marhavy et al., 2011) and altered cytokinin response leads to altered PIN1 localization (Laplaze et al., 2007; Marhavy et al., 2011; Marhavy et al., 2014; Moreira et al., 2013). CYTOKININ RESPONSE FACTORS, cytokinin-inducible transcription factors, directly bind PIN1 and PIN7 upstream regulatory regions and cytokinin treatment increases expression of these genes encoding auxin efflux carriers (Šimášková et al., 2015). Our developmental model (Figure 7A) suggests that TOB1 transports the auxin precursor IBA into the vacuole to limit IBA contributions to the auxin pool and also mediates cytokinin effects on lateral root formation. Mutants defective in $TOB1$ are resistant to the inhibitory effects of cytokinin on lateral root formation (Figure 5B), and $TOB1$ transcript accumulation is regulated by cytokinin (Figures 5C-E), providing an additional mechanism through which cytokinin exerts its effects on auxin homeostasis to direct lateral root formation (Figure 6). Together, the activities of the critical plant hormones auxin and cytokinin drive lateral root specification and activation to ultimately affect the architecture of the root system.

TOB1 is a member of a family of transporters classified as the NTR1 PTR FAMILY (NPF) (Léran et al., 2014), which are a part of the larger group of major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporters. MFS transporters are a large and diverse family of secondary transporters with substrates including ions, sugars, amino acids, and peptides. Members of the NPY/NRT1/CHL1 family, originally identified as nitrate and peptide transporters (Frommer et al., 1994; Rentsch et al., 1995; Tsay et al., 1993), also transport many key plant hormones. CHL1, the dual affinity nitrate transceptor, appears to also transport IAA (Krouk et al., 2010; Mounier et al., 2014); other members of this large family transport compounds as diverse as chloride, abscisic acid, gibberellin, JA-Ile, and glucosinolates (Chiba et al., 2015; Kanno et al., 2012; Li et al., 2016; Nour-Eldin et al., 2012; Saito et al., 2015). Here we found that TOB1 is capable of transporting IBA and nitrate, but not other tested hormones. This transport is electrogenic and TOB1 likely functions as a proton cotransporter, which either transports the anionic form of IBA with
two protons or the protonated form with one proton (Figure 7B). Plant hormones are structurally diverse and arose at different points during the evolution of flowering plants. It is thus conceivable that during evolution, flexibility within this family allowed the transport of these hormone substrates. Of note, metazoan homologs appear to function as di- and tri-peptide transporters, but are well known to also transport a wide spectrum of drugs, supporting the hypothesis that the binding pocket is highly accommodating (Brandsch, 2013; Martinez Molledo et al., 2018). In addition to their hormone regulatory roles, the dual affinity of many of these transporters provides a mechanism to integrate nitrate and hormone-regulated growth (reviewed in Krouk, 2016).

Our biochemical experiments (Figure 2), root tip transport assays (Figure 2), and response assays to the inhibitory effects of IBA on root elongation (Figure 1) are consistent with proton-coupled IBA and nitrate efflux from the vacuole (Figure 7C) under high IBA concentrations. However, the affinity of TOB1 for IBA is apparently low (millimolar range) and the presence of nitrate in the vacuole is expected to affect IBA efflux. Analysis of tob1 mutant developmental phenotypes (Figures 4, 5), root tip transport assays (Figure 2), cytokinin response assays (Figure 6), localization (Figure 3, S3), and yeast transport assays (Figure 2) support an in planta role of TOB1 in IBA transport into the vacuole (Figure 7C). However, our electrophysiology analysis (Figure 2) and localization data (Figure 3, S3) are consistent with a role for TOB1 in moving IBA out of the vacuole (Figure 7C). These alternative models of TOB1 activity in the cell are each differentially supported by our data and no one model can explain our current data, suggesting that there are additional factors at play and/or that TOB1 functions differently in distinct cell types. Thus, we hypothesize that other factors affect the transport efficacy and selectivity of this protein in vivo. For example, the high affinity nitrate transporters of the NRT2 family require a second subunit, NAR2 for activity (Okamoto et al., 2006; Yong et al., 2010). It is possible that NPF proteins similarly interact with regulators that affect the direction, selectivity, and capacity to transport diverse plant hormones.
Our studies have uncovered a novel player in the regulation of lateral root development that integrates cytokinin responses and modulation of auxin levels through controlling IBA inputs into the auxin pool (Figure 6). Lateral root branching is instrumental in shaping root system architecture, which in turn drives belowground resource acquisition (reviewed in Lavenus et al., 2013). Mutants defective in TOB1 more thoroughly explore within the volume of space they occupy, which may be advantageous in certain environments. Because \textit{TOB1} expression is dynamically controlled by cytokinin, TOB1 may serve as a rheostat for modulating auxin levels in specific tissues to ultimately affect the final root architecture. It will be interesting in the future to determine whether TOB1 is involved in the root system architecture changes associated with nutrient availability and stress responses.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. TRANSPORTER OF IBA1 Identification

(A) Photograph of 8-day-old Wt (Col-0), pen3-4, and PS173 (pen3-4 tob1-1) seedlings grown in the presence of 1 µM 2,4-DB.

(B) Mean primary root lengths (+SE; \( n \geq 18 \)) of 8-day-old Wt (Col-0), PS173 (pen3-4 tob1-1), and pen3-4 seedlings grown in the presence of the indicated auxins and auxin precursors.

(C) Root tips of Wt (Col-0), pen3-4, and PS173 (pen3-4 tob1-1) seedlings were incubated for one hour in uptake buffer containing 25 nM \(^{3}\text{H}\)-IBA, rinsed three times with uptake buffer, and then removed and analyzed by scintillation counting. Mean DPM (+SE; \( n = 6 \)) are shown.

(D) TOB1/At1g72140 gene schematic depicting the EMS-consistent point mutation identified in PS173 (called tob1-1) and two T-DNA insertion alleles (tob1-2 and tob1-3).

(E) A schematic diagram of the predicted TOB1 topology based on outputs of ARAMEMNON (http://aramemnon.uni-koeln.de; (Schwacke et al., 2003)) and TOPO2 (http://www.sacs.ucsf.edu/TOPO2/). Filled circles represent predicted transmembrane residues whereas open circles represent non-transmembrane residues. tob1-1 carries a Leu371-to-Phe substitution in the eighth predicted transmembrane domain.

(F) The tob1-1 mutation disrupts a conserved aliphatic residue in the eighth predicted transmembrane domain. The alignment shows this region in Arabidopsis thaliana TOB1 (At1g72140) and its closest homologs from Solanum lycopersicum, Glycine max, Zea mays, and Physcomitrella patens.

(G) Photographs of representative 8-day-old Wt (Col-0), pen3-4, tob1-1, tob1-2, and tob1-3 seedlings grown in the presence of the indicated auxins.

Figure 2. TOB1 Transports the Auxin Precursor IBA.
(A) Root tips of Wt (Col-0), *pen3-4*, PS173 (*pen3-4 tob1-1*), and *tob1-2* seedlings were incubated for one hour in uptake buffer containing 25 nM [³H]-IAA or 25 nM [³H]-IBA, rinsed three times with uptake buffer, and then removed and analyzed by scintillation counting. Mean DPM (+SE; n = 6) are shown.

(B) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain BY4741 carrying pAG424-GFP or pAG424-GFP-TOB1 were incubated for one hour in buffer containing 25 nM [³H]-IAA or 25 nM [³H]-IBA, rinsed three times with buffer, and then analyzed by scintillation counting. Mean DPM (+SE; n = 12) are shown.

(C) GFP-TOB1 is primarily localized to the vacuolar membrane in yeast cells. Fluorescence microscopy images of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain BY4741 carrying pAG424-GFP or pAG424-GFP-TOB1. Scale bar = 5 µm.

(D, E) Representative currents recorded in single oocytes injected with water as a control (D) or *TOB1* (E) when perfused with IBA or nitrate at indicated concentrations (independent data from three different oocytes from three different frogs were recorded). Oocytes were clamped at −120 mV.

(F, G) Representative currents recorded in single oocytes injected with water (F) or *TOB1* (G) when perfused with nitrate at the various indicated concentrations (independent data from three different oocytes from three different frogs were recorded). Oocytes were clamped at −120 mV.

(H) Mean (± SD; n ≥ 5) $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ uptake in water-, *TOB1*-, and *TOB1$^{D457A}$*-injected oocytes incubated with 1 mM K$^{15}$NO$_3$ buffer (pH 5.5) with or without 5 mM IBA for 1 hr. Asterisks indicate significant differences (p<0.05, t-test).

**Figure 3. Vacuolar TOB1 Accumulates in Lateral Root Cap and Lateral Root Primordia Cells.**
(A-E) TOB1 is expressed during all stages of lateral root development. Confocal microscopy images from tob1-1 expressing TOB1:YFP-TOB1, capturing lateral roots at several stages of development. (B) is a top-down view of a developing lateral root.

Figure 4. TOB1 Regulates Lateral Root Formation.

(A) tob1 mutant seedlings display increased numbers of lateral roots and longer lateral roots than wild type. Photograph of 12-day-old Wt (Col-0), tob1-1, and tob1-3 seedlings.

(B) Unemerged lateral root primordia (LRP) and emerged lateral roots were quantified in Wt (Col-0) and tob1-2 seedlings carrying the DR5-GFP reporter (Friml et al., 2003) after 4 days or 8 days of growth. Lateral root density was measured as number of lateral roots per cm primary root length (mean + SE; n ≥ 26).

(C) Gravitropic stimulation was used to synchronize lateral root initiation in 6-day-old Wt (Col-0) and tob1-2 seedlings carrying the DR5-GFP reporter (Friml et al., 2003). Histogram of frequency of lateral root primordia per root bend after 24 hours of gravistimulus.

(D) Gravitropic stimulation was used to synchronize lateral root primordia initiation in 6-day-old Wt (Col-0) and tob1-2 seedlings carrying the DR5-GFP reporter (Friml et al., 2003). After 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, and 32 h of gravistimulus, lateral root stages (Malamy and Benfey, 1997) of primordia at the induced root bend were determined. Histograms depict frequency of lateral root stages observed at these time points (n ≥ 30).

Figure 5. TOB1 Regulates Root System Architecture.

(A) tob1 lateral root phenotypes are suppressed by blocking IBA-to-IAA conversion. Photograph of 12-day-old Wt (Col-0), tob1-1, ech2-1 ibr10-1, and tob1-1 ech2-1 ibr10-1 seedlings.
(B-D) Selected 2D projections of 3D reconstructions of 9-day-old, 17-day-old, or 25-day-old Wt (Col-0) and \textit{tob1-1} plants. Growth since the last time point is indicated by progressively warmer colors (D). Movies S1, S2, S3, and S4 convey 3D views.

(E-J) Root traits obtained from 3D reconstructions of Wt (Col-0) and \textit{tob1-1} plants after 9, 13, 17, 21, or 25 days of growth using Dynamic Roots software, which parses each root in the system for measurements over time (Symonova et al., 2015). See Table S1 for full dataset. Wt and \textit{tob1} display no observable difference in primary root length (E). Compared to Wt, \textit{tob1} displays increased total number of lateral roots (F), total lateral root volume (G), total lateral root length (H), and total lateral root depth (I) at certain time points. \textit{tob1} displays a decreased mean lateral root branching angle compared to Wt at certain time points (J). Asterisk indicates significant difference (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01; non-parametric Wilcoxon 2-sample test with normal approximation).

(K-N) Root traits obtained from 3D reconstructions of Wt (Col-0) and \textit{tob1-1} plants after 9, 13, 17, 21, or 25 days of growth using the RSA-GIA pipeline, which captures global features of root systems (Galkovskyi et al., 2012; Topp et al., 2013). See Table S2 for full dataset. \textit{tob1-1} displays increased total root length (K), increased total number of root tips (L), and increased solidity (the thoroughness with which a root system explores a volume of soil; M) at certain time points. The convex hull volumes of Wt and \textit{tob1-1} were indistinguishable at the examined time points. Asterisk indicates significant difference (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01; non-parametric Wilcoxon 2-sample test with normal approximation).

Figure 6. Cytokinin Regulates \textit{TOB1} Expression.

(A) Mean primary root lengths (+SD; n ≥ 11) of 10-day-old Wt (Col-0), \textit{pen3-4}, \textit{tob1-1}, \textit{tob1-2}, and \textit{tob1-3} seedlings grown on medium supplemented with ethanol (Mock) or 0.5 \textmu M kinetin. Percent inhibition by treatment is indicated above each treatment bar.
(B) Mean numbers of lateral roots per cm of root length (+SD; n ≥ 11) of 10-day-old Wt (Col-0), pen3-4, tob1-1, tob1-2 and tob1-3 seedlings grown on medium supplemented with ethanol (Mock) or 0.5 µM kinetin. Percent inhibition by treatment is indicated above each treatment bar.

(C) TOB1 transcript is cytokinin-regulated. 6-day-old Wt (Col-0), tob1-2, tob1-3, ahk3-1 ahk4-1 (Nishimura et al., 2004), and arr3 arr4 arr5 arr6 arr7 arr8 arr9 arr15 (Zhang et al., 2011) seedlings were incubated for 1 hour in medium supplemented with ethanol (Mock) or 1 µM BA. Relative TOB1 transcript levels (mean of three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates; +SE) were determined using quantitative PCR using cDNA from each sample.

(D) Cytokinin upregulates TOB1 levels in all root tissues. Confocal images of 6-day-old tob1-1 TOB1:YFP-TOB1 grown on medium supplemented with ethanol (Mock) or 0.5 µM kinetin. Two exposures for each condition are shown.

(E) Fluorescence microscopy images of 6-day-old tob1-1 TOB1:YFP-TOB1 grown on medium supplemented with ethanol (Mock) or the indicated hormone are shown.

Figure 7. Model of TOB1 Activity.

(A) Developmental model of TOB1 activity. The TOB1 gene is a direct target of the cytokinin response pathway and mediates cytokinin effects on lateral root development. Loss of TOB1 activity results in increased numbers of emerged lateral roots.

(B) Molecular model of TOB1 activity. Electrophysiology experiments suggest that TOB1 transports IBA, along with two protons, across the occyte plasma membrane into the cytoplasm. The directionality of this transport is consistent with TOB1-mediated efflux of IBA from the vacuole.

(C) Potential cellular models of TOB1/NPF5.12 activity. Three potential models for TOB1 activity within the cell; each are differentially supported by the data. A model in which plasma-membrane-localized TOB1 acts to uptake IBA is supported by our IBA-
responsive root elongation data, our root tip IBA transport assay, and our
electrophysiology data in oocytes. A model in which tonoplast-localized TOB1
transports IBA and nitrate to affect lateral root production by sequestering these
molecules is supported by our root tip IBA transport assay, our cytokinin response assay,
the *tob1* developmental phenotypes, our yeast transport assay, and YFP-TOB1
localization. A model in which tonoplast-localized TOB1 transports IBA out of the
vacuole is supported by YFP-TOB1 localization and electrophysiology data in oocytes.
Our conflicting data for TOB1 cellular activity suggest that additional factors regulating
TOB1 localization or activity are missing.
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EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Arabidopsis

All Arabidopsis lines were in the Columbia (Col-0) background, which was used as the wild type (Wt). Seeds were surface-sterilized (Last and Fink, 1988), suspended in 0.1% agar, and stratified for 2 d at 4°C to promote uniform germination. After stratification, seeds were plated on plant nutrient (PN) media (Haughn and Somerville, 1986) solidified with 0.6% agar and supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) sucrose (PNS) at 22°C under continuous illumination.

METHOD DETAILS

Growth Conditions and Phenotypic Assays

All plant lines were in the Columbia (Col-0) accession of Arabidopsis thaliana. Surface-sterilized (Last and Fink, 1988) seeds were plated on plant nutrient (PN, Haughn and Somerville, 1986) medium supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) sucrose and solidified with 0.6% (w/v) agar. Hormone stocks were dissolved in ethanol prior to supplementation of media for growth assays. Ethanol-supplemented media (Mock) were used as controls. Seedlings were grown at 22 °C under continuous illumination through yellow long-pass filters to slow indolic compound breakdown (Stasinopoulos and Hangarter, 1990).

For auxin-responsive root elongation assays, primary root lengths of seedlings grown for the indicated number of days on media supplemented with the indicated auxin were imaged or manually measured.
For lateral root assays, seedlings were grown on unsupplemented medium or medium supplemented with the indicated hormone. Emerged lateral roots, as seen under a dissecting microscope, were counted. To quantify lateral primordia, seedlings carrying the DR5:GFP reporter (Friml et al., 2003) were examined at the indicated time points using a Leica Axioimager and lateral root primordia staged according to Malamy and Benfey (1997).

For cytokinin-responsive root elongation assays, primary root lengths of seedlings grown for 10 days on media supplemented with ethanol (Mock) or 0.5 µM kinetin were measured. For cytokinin-responsive lateral root assays, emerged lateral roots were counted and primary root lengths of seedlings grown for 10 days on media supplemented with ethanol (Mock) or 0.5 µM kinetin were measured. For each seedling, the number of emerged lateral roots was divided by the primary root length to determine lateral root density.

Genetic Analysis

Seeds of the pen3-4 (Stein et al., 2006) mutant were mutagenized by treatment with 0.24% ethyl-methansulfonate (EMS) for 16 h. These M1 seed were moved to soil and allowed to self-fertilize. The resultant M2 seed were surface-sterilized and plated on media supplemented with 10 µM IBA. Seedlings displaying suppressed pen3-4 hypersensitivity to IBA (Strader and Bartel, 2009) were selected, given a “PS” designation number, moved to soil, and allowed to self-fertilize. M3 progeny were retested for suppression of pen3-4 IBA and 2,4-DB hypersensitivity (Figure S1). Those individuals that passed the retest for suppression of pen3-4 hypersensitivity to the auxin precursors IBA and 2,4-DB were then tested for sensitivity to the active auxins IAA and dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D). Isolates displaying resistance to these active auxins were discarded. Remaining isolates displaying a requirement for a fixed carbon source to fuel growth were eliminated because they were likely defective in generic peroxisomal
processes (Zolman et al., 2000), rather than processes specific to the auxin precursor IBA. From the remaining isolates, we focused on those displaying suppression of pen3-4 hyperaccumulation of the $[^3]$H]-IBA in root tip auxin accumulation assays (Strader and Bartel, 2009). pen3-4 suppressor isolates PS1, PS88, PS89, PS142, PS173, PS200, and PS217 displayed suppressed the IBA and 2,4-DB hypersensitivity and suppressed the $[^3]$H]IBA hyperaccumulation observed in pen3-4 (Figure S1).

The causative mutation in PS173 was identified by a bulk segregant whole genome sequencing strategy (Thole and Strader, 2015). PS173 was crossed to pen3-4 and resultant F2 progeny were selected for suppression of IBA hypersensitivity (Figure S1C), moved to soil, and allowed to self-fertilize. Seedlings from F3 progeny that retested for suppression of IBA hypersensitivity were combined for genomic DNA extraction (Thole et al., 2014). Genomic library preparation, Illumina sequencing, and data analysis were performed as previously described (Thole et al., 2014).

PCR-based assays were used to verify genotypes. Amplification of TOB1 with TOB1-Fmut (5’- GCTGTGTGTGATCTCATTCC -3’) and TOB1-Rmut (5’- CTGACAAAGCAGCTATTACC -3’) results in a 316-bp product with three MnlI sites in wild type and two sites in tob1-1. Amplification of TOB1 with TOB1-2-F (5’- GCATGGATGGGAGATCGGCCG -3’) and TOB1-2-R (5’- GCCTAAGATCCAACTCATGCC -3’) results in an 870-bp product in wild type and no product in tob1-2. PCR amplification with TOB1-2-R and LB1-SALK (5’- CAAAAGCTGGGAGACGCGTTGCTTGCAACTC -3’) results in an ~400-bp product in tob1-2 and no product in wild type. Amplification of TOB1 with TOB1-3-F (5’- CGTGAGTGTGTGAGTCTCTCGGAG -3’) and TOB1-3-R (5’- GATTGATCGTCGAGTGGGAG -3’) results in a 1077-bp product in wild type and no product in tob1-3. PCR amplification with TOB1-3-R and LB1-SALK results in an ~600-bp product in tob1-3 and no product in wild type.
Vector Construction and Transformation

The *TOB1* upstream regulatory region was amplified using promTOB1-F (5'-GAATTCGCGATACACTGAGACTAACGC -3') and promTOB1-R (5'-CTCGAGGCTTCTTGTTATTTCGTTTCAG -3'). The resultant 1327-bp product, spanning the region between *TOB1* and its most upstream neighboring gene, was cloned into the pCR4 vector (Life Technologies) to create pCR4-TOB1prom. The *TOB1* upstream regulatory region was excised from pCR4-TOB1prom using *Eco*RI and *Xho*I and subcloned into pMCS:YFP-GW (Michniewicz et al., 2015) to create pTOB1:YFP-GW.

A *TOB1* cDNA was amplified from the U66577 clone obtained from the ABRC (Ohio State University) using Pfx Platinum Taq (Life Technologies) using TOB1cDNA-F (5'-CACCATGTCGACATCCATCGGCGAT -3') and TOB1cDNA-R (5'-CTACTTTGGGCTGTTGTAGAGATAG -3'). The resultant PCR product was captured into the pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Life Technologies) to give pENTR-TOB1c. This *TOB1* cDNA was recombined into the pTOB1:YFP-GW vector using LR Clonase (Life Technologies) to form pTOB1:YFP-TOB1, which expresses an N-terminal YFP fusion with TOB1 driven by the *TOB1* genomic upstream regulatory region. Recombinant plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 (Koncz and Schell, 1986), which was used to transform plants using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transformants were selected in the presence of 10 µg/mL Basta (phosphinothricin) and lines homozygous for the transgene were identified in subsequent generations.

The *TOB1* and *TOB1D457A* cDNAs were recombined into the pOO2-GW vector (Loqué et al., 2009) using LR Clonase (Life Technologies) to form pOO2-TOB1 and pOO2-TOB1D457A, which allows for generation of *TOB1* and *TOB1D457A* transcripts compatible with *Xenopus* oocyte translation.
Root System Architecture Analysis

Seeds (Col-0 and tob1-1) were vapor sterilized for 30 minutes with chlorine gas and then cold stratified in sterile H₂O for 48 hours prior to being planted in 2 L glass cylinders containing 1.5 L of plant nutrient medium (PN, Haughn and Somerville, 1986) supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) sucrose solidified with 0.15% (w/v) gellan gum (Gelzan, Caisson Labs). Excess seeds were thinned from cylinders at 3 days-post-planting leaving one plant per cylinder. Plants were grown at 23 °C for 14-hour days, and 21 °C for 10-hour nights. Starting at 9 days-post-planting, plants were imaged on a custom optical projection tomography system every 4 days until they reached 25 days post planting. The details of this system are described in Topp et al. 2013, but in brief, the cylinders were placed on a backlit turntable controlled by a computer. As the cylinder spins across 360 degrees, a sillhouette image is captured every 5 degrees for a total of 72 images per data set. Images were scaled, cropped, and thresholded, prior to 3D reconstructions and global feature extractions using the RSA-Gia pipeline (Galkovskyi et al., 2012; Topp et al., 2013). Timeseries analysis of individual roots within the root system were conducted by exporting 3D models from the RSA-Gia pipeline into the Dynamic Roots application (Symonova et al., 2015).

Microscopy

Seedlings were mounted in water for imaging through a 40x water immersion lens on a Zeiss LSM 510 laser scanning microscope equipped with a Meta detector. Pixels resulting from fluorescence were false-colored yellow. Images were converted and channels merged using NIH Image J.

Auxin Accumulation Assays

Arabidopsis Root Tip Transport Assays
Auxin accumulation assays were performed as previously described on excised root tips from 8-day-old seedlings (Strader and Bartel, 2009), except that root tips were moved to scintillant immediately after being rinsed following incubation in radiolabeled auxin.

**Saccharomyces cerevisiae** Transport Assays

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain BY4741 was transformed with either pAG424-GFP to express free GFP or with pAG424-GFP-TOB1 to express GFP-TOB1. Yeast were grown overnight in a 50 mL culture to OD$_{600} = 0.4$ in SC-Trp. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended to OD$_{600} = 1.0$ in 0.1 M 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer (pH 4.6) supplemented with 2% glucose. 50 µl cells were aliquoted into an eppendorf tube and an equal volume of 50 nM $[^3]$H-IAA or 50 nM $[^3]$H-IBA added to each tube, for a final concentration of 25 nM $[^3]$H-IAA or 25 nM $[^3]$H-IBA. Cells were incubated at room temperature for 1 hour, resuspended and rinsed three times with 0.1 M MES buffer (pH 4.6) supplemented with 2% glucose, then moved to scintillant.

**Xenopus** Oocyte Transport Assays

TEVC in oocytes was performed essentially as described previously (Ho and Frommer, 2014). To obtain capped cRNA for *Xenopus* oocyte injections, pOO2-TOB1 and pOO2-TOB1$^{D457A}$ were linearized with *Mlu*I and transcribed *in vitro* by SP6 RNA polymerase using the mMMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). *Xenopus laevis* oocytes were obtained from the laboratory of Miriam Goodman by manual surgery or ordered from Ecocyte Bio Science (Austin, TX). Oocytes were injected with 50 nL distilled water, 50 ng TOBI cRNA, or 50 ng TOBI$^{D457A}$ cRNA (in 50 nL volume) using a Roboinjector (Multi Channel Systems, Reutlingen, Germany) (Ho and Frommer, 2014; Leisgen et al., 2007; Pehl et al., 2004). Cells were kept at 16 °C for 2–4 days in ND96 buffer (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) supplemented with gentamycin (50 µg/µl) before recording experiments. Recordings
were typically performed at day three after cRNA injection.

Electrophysiological analyses of injected oocytes were performed as described previously (De Michele et al., 2013; Ho and Frommer, 2014; Huang et al., 1999). Reaction buffers used for recording current (I)-voltage (V) relationships or whole-cell currents from the injected *Xenopus* oocytes at a holding potential of −120 were (i) 230 mM mannitol, 0.3 mM CaCl2, and 10 mM HEPES at the pH indicated plus indicated concentrations of IBA or CsNO3. Typical resting potentials were −40 mV. For determination of IV relationships, measurements were recorded in oocytes that were voltage clamped at −20 mV and a step protocol was used (20 to −200 mV for 300 ms, in −20 mV increments) and measured by the two-electrode voltage-clamp (TEVC) Robococyte system (Multi Channel Systems) (Ho and Frommer, 2014; Leisgen et al., 2007; Pehl et al., 2004). A subset of experiments was carried out using a chloride-free buffer.

Isotopic nitrate uptake assays were performed using 15N-labeled nitrate (Ho et al., 2009). Oocytes were injected with *TOBI* cRNA, *TOBI*<sup>D457A</sup> cRNA, or water (control) and incubated at 16 °C for 2–4 days in ND96 supplemented with gentamycin. For isotopic nitrate uptake assays, the oocytes were then incubated for 60-90 min in the indicated 15NO<sub>3</sub>− medium containing 230 mM mannitol, 0.3 mM CaCl2, 10 mM HEPES pH 5.5. After incubation, oocytes were rinsed five times with ND96 buffer and individually dried at 80 °C for 1–2 days. 15N content was analyzed in an ECS 4010 Elemental Combustion System (Costech Analytical Technologies Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) whose output was connected to a Delta plus Advantage mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).

**RNA isolation and qPCR**

Ten 6-day-old seedlings were incubated at room temperature in mock treatment or in 10 µM 6-benzylaminopurine (BA; dissolved in KOH) for 1 hour. Seedlings were then
harvested and total RNA extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from equal amounts of total RNA from each sample using Superscript III (Life Technologies). Resultant cDNA was diluted 1:10 in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, for use in qPCR using a Bio-Rad CFX Connect Real-Time PCR system. For quantitative PCR reactions, 2 µL of the 1:10 cDNA was used as the template, along with final primer concentrations of 200 nM, and a 0.5X final reaction volume of iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad). Relative $TOB1$ transcript accumulation were analyzed using primers TOB1-10 (5'-AAAGAGAAGGAATCGTTGCTGAAA -3') and TOB1-11 (5'-CTTCACCAGGCGTTAGGAGAGTC -3'). $TUB4$ ($At5g44340$) transcript levels were used as a control and were analyzed using primers bTUB-F2 (5'-TTCCGTACCCTCAAGCTCGCTAAT -3') and bTUB-R2 (5'-ATCCTCTCGATGTCAATGGTGCGA -3'). The PCR cycle conditions consisted of a 5 minute initial denaturation at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of melting (95 °C for 10 seconds) and annealing/extension a (56 °C for 40 seconds). PCR products were then analyzed using a melt curve analysis. An efficiency calibrated model (Pfaffl, 2001) was used to analyze the data. Data represents the average of 3 biological replicates, each with themselves the average of 3 technical replicates per biological replicate.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Details of statistical analyses can be found in the figure legends. Microsoft Excel was used to perform statistical analyses for phenotypic assays. All error bars represent standard error of the mean.

**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole-3-Butyric Acid (IBA)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>I5386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole-3-Acetic Acid (IAA)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>I2886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basta</td>
<td>GoldBio</td>
<td>P-165-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>K3378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Benzylaminopurine (BA)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>B3408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3H]IAA</td>
<td>American Radiolabeled Chemicals</td>
<td>ART1112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3H]BA</td>
<td>American Radiolabeled Chemicals</td>
<td>ART0340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl-methansulfonate (EMS)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>M0880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Critical Commercial Assays**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assays</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNeasy Plant Mini Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>74904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuikChange Lightning Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit</td>
<td>Agilent</td>
<td>210518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMESSAGE mMACHINE</td>
<td>Ambion</td>
<td>AM1340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix</td>
<td>Biorad</td>
<td>1725121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabidopsis</th>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pen3-4</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pen3-4 tob1-1 (PS173)</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tob1-2</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>SALK_093015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tob1-3</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>SALK_205450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ech2-1 ibr10-1</td>
<td>(Strader et al., 2011)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tob1-1 ech2-1 ibr10-1</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tob1-1 pTOB1:YFP-TOB1</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR5-GFP</td>
<td>Sabatini et al., 1999</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAG424-GFP</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAG424-GFP-TOB1</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahk3,4</td>
<td>(Nishimura et al., 2004)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arr3,4,5,6,7,8,9,15</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2011)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oligonucleotides**

| Primers | This study | N/A |

**Recombinant DNA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pMCS:YFP-GW</td>
<td>Michniewicz et al., 2015</td>
<td>ABRC stock #CD3-1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA U66577 (TOB1)</td>
<td>Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pOO2-GW</td>
<td>(Loqué et al., 2009)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pOO2-TOB1</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pOO2-TOB1D457A</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software and Algorithms</td>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ</td>
<td>NIH</td>
<td><a href="https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/">https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novoalign</td>
<td>Novocraft</td>
<td><a href="http://novocraft.com">http://novocraft.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMtools</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2009)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snpEFF</td>
<td>(Cingolani et al., 2012)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA-Gia</td>
<td>(Galkovskyi et al., 2012; Topp et al., 2013)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Roots</td>
<td>(Symonova et al., 2015)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure S1. Related to Figure 1. A pen3-4 Suppressor Screen to Identify Factors Involved in IBA Transport**

(A) pen3-4 mutant seeds were EMS-mutagenized and grown to the M2 generation. Seeds from the M2 population were plated on medium supplemented with 10 µM IBA and grown for 8 days under continuous illumination. 256 suppressor isolates were identified that suppressed pen3-4 hypersensitivity to IBA. These were retested in the next generation revealing 141 isolates with wild type or resistant root phenotypes on IBA. These 141 isolates were further tested for the root sensitivity to active auxins (2,4-D and IAA). From this test, 118 individuals with wild type sensitivity to both IAA and 2,4-D were tested for the requirement of an exogenous carbon source for growth. 96 lines that did not need the carbon source to grow were further tested using a simplified IBA transport assay in roots. This test revealed 7 individuals that suppressed abcg36 hyperaccumulation of [³H]-IBA.

(B) Photograph of 8-day-old wild type (Col-0), pen3-4, and pen3-4 suppressor isolates (PS1, PS88, PS89, PS142, PS173, PS200, and PS219) grown in the presence of ethanol (Mock), 0.5 µM 2,4-DB, or 100 nM 2,4-D. Root tips of wild type (Col-0), pen3-4, and pen3-4 suppressor isolates (PS1, PS88, PS89, PS142, PS173, PS200, and PS219) seedlings were incubated for one hour in uptake buffer containing 25 nM [³H]-IBA, rinsed three times with uptake buffer, and then removed and analyzed by scintillation counting. Mean DPM (+SE; n = 6) are shown.

(C) Identification of the PS173 causative mutation by bulk segregant analysis and whole genome sequencing. Isolate PS173 was found in the suppressor screen to be resistant to the root growth inhibitory effect on IBA. To find the causative mutation in this suppressor isolate, PS173 was crossed to the IBA hypersensitive pen3-4 mutant. From the segregating F2 population, IBA resistant seedlings were grown to give F3 seeds, which were pooled together. Genomic DNA was isolated from pooled F3 seedlings and sent for Illumina sequencing and SNP identification.
Fig. S2. Related to Figure 2. Detailed electrophysiological characterization of TOB1.

(A,B) Concentration dependence of TOB1-mediated inward currents induced by IBA and nitrate. (A) Kinetics of IBA-induced currents of TOB1 at pH 5.5. The $K_m$ was 2.7 mM ± 0.83, $V_{max}$ 16.3 nA ± 2.8, $R^2$ 0.92. The data were fitted to Michaelis–Menten kinetics. Oocytes were clamped at −120 mV. (B) Kinetics of nitrate-induced currents of TOB1. The $K_m$ was 1.7 mM ± 0.46, $V_{max}$ 101nA ± 6.9, $R^2 = 0.93$. The data were fitted to Michaelis–Menten kinetics. Oocytes were clamped at −120 mV (independent data from three different oocytes recorded from three different frogs).

(C,D). Box plots showing the distribution of IBA- and nitrate-induced inward currents of TOB1 expressed oocytes. Currents recorded in oocytes injected with water or TOB1 and perfused with IBA or nitrate at the indicated concentrations and pH. Oocytes were clamped at −120 mV. In the figure: the upper horizontal line of the box is the 75th percentile; the lower horizontal line of the box is the 25th percentile; the horizontal bar within box is the median value (between yellow and gray); the upper horizontal bar outside the box is the maximum value; the lower horizontal bar outside the box is the minimum values (error bars; n >10).

(E,F,G) TOB1 induces inward currents in the presence of nitrate or IBA when expressed in oocytes. Currents recorded in single oocytes injected with water (control, E) or TOB1-mRNA (F) and perfused with IBA or nitrate at the indicated concentrations. Oocytes were voltage clamped at -120 mV. (G) Current/voltage IV curve of TOB1 using TEVC. Oocytes were voltage clamped at −20 mV and stepped into a test voltage between 20 and −200 mV for 300 ms, in −20 mV increments. The currents shown here are the difference between the currents (300 ms after addition of substrate) in the cRNA-injected TOB1 and water-injected control oocytes for the indicated substrates. The curves presented here were recorded from single oocytes. Similar results were obtained in
multiple oocytes from the same batch and were reproducible in at least three different batches of oocytes. Note the IBA-induced outward current. This current is not likely due to IBA efflux, since it was also observed in oocytes that had not previously been exposed to IBA. Of note, the outward current was chloride-dependent (Fig. S2M).

(H-J) **Substrate specificity of TOB1.** (H,I) Currents recorded in single oocytes injected with water or *TOB1* and perfused with IBA, nitrate, ABA, GA₃, GA₄, 2,4-D, or NAA at the indicated concentrations. Oocytes were voltage clamped at -120 mV. (J) The currents shown here are the difference between the currents in the cRNA-injected *TOB1* and water-injected control of the indicated substrates (n=4). Data were reproducible in three oocytes recorded from three different frogs.

(K,L) **The TOB1-D547A mutant is unable to transport IBA or nitrate.** Currents recorded in single oocytes injected with water or *TOB1*<sub>D457A</sub> cRNA and perfused with nitrate, IBA and IAA at the indicated concentrations. Oocytes were voltage clamped at -120 mV (representative for independent data from at least three different oocytes recorded from three different frogs).

(M) **Chloride dependence of the outward current.** Oocytes injected with water as a control or *TOB1* cRNA were used to determine IBA-induced currents in a chloride-free buffer. Current/voltage IV curve of TOB1 using TEVC. Oocytes were voltage clamped at −20 mV and stepped into a test voltage between 20 and −200 mV for 300 ms, in −20 mV increments. The currents (I) shown here are the difference between the currents (300 ms after addition of substrate) in the cRNA-injected TOB1 and water-injected control oocytes for the indicated substrates. The curves presented here were recorded from single oocytes. Similar results were obtained in multiple oocytes from the same batch and were reproducible in at least three different batches of oocytes. IBA was still able to induce inward currents, however the outward current observed in chloride-containing buffer was not detectable.
Figure S3. Related to Figure 3. The TOB1:YFP-TOB1 Construct Rescues tob1 Mutant Phenotypes.

(A) The tob1-1 mutant plants expressing YFP-TOB1 show restored (same as wild type) sensitivity to the inhibitory effect of 2,4-DB. Image of 8-day-old seedlings grown in presence of 1 µM 2,4-DB.

(B) YFP-TOB1 localizes to vacuoles in Arabidopsis cells. Fluorescence microscopy and DIC images of root cells from 5-day-old tob1-1 expressing TOB1:YFP-TOB1 and the vac-ck reporter (Nelson et al., 2007), which decorates the vacuole/tonoplast.

(C) Fluorescence microscopy images of the root tip of a 5-day-old tob1-1 expressing TOB1:YFP-TOB1.

Figure S4. Related to Figure 6. Genomic Regions Surrounding TOB1 Contain ARR Binding Sequences.

The genomic region surrounding TOB1 (At1g72140) is shown. Exons are highlighted in dark gray and introns and UTRs are highlighted in light gray. ARR binding sequences, consisting of A(A/G)GAT(T/C)TT (core sequences underlined), are highlighted in bright pink.

Movie S1. Related to Figure 5B.
Selected 3D reconstructions of 9-day-old Wt (Col-0) and tob1-1 plants.

Movie S2. Related to Figure 5C.
Selected 3D reconstructions of 17-day-old Wt (Col-0) and tob1-1 plants.

Movie S3. Related to Figure 5D.
Selected 3D reconstructions of Wt (Col-0) and tob1-1 plants over time.
Movie S4. Related to Figure 5D.
Selected 3D reconstructions of 25-day-old Wt (Col-0) and *tob1-1* plants.

**Dataset S1.** TOB1 Dynamic Roots Dataset.
**Dataset S2.** TOB1 RSA-GIA Dataset.
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**Potential cellular models of TOB1 activity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CELLULAR MODEL</th>
<th>EVIDENCE FOR MODEL:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| TOB1 acts to uptake IBA | • IBA root elongation response  
                          • root tip transport assay  
                          • electrophysiology data |
| TOB1 acts to limit IBA contributions to the auxin pool | • root tip transport assay  
                                                    • cytokinin response assay  
                                                    • developmental phenotypes  
                                                    • yeast transport assay  
                                                    • localization |
| TOB1 acts to efflux IBA from vacuole | • electrophysiology data  
                                         • localization |

*Note: TOB1 and ABCG36 are only co-expressed in lateral root cap cells, not in lateral root-associated cells.*
Figure S2